

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,284	01/20/2006	Masaru Nakakita	28951.5462	7118
53067 STEPTOE & I	7590 03/26/200 OHNSON LLP	EXAMINER		
1330 CONNEC	CTICUT AVE., NW	GARCIA, CARLOS E		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/565,284	NAKAKITA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
CARLOS E. GARCIA	2627			

Office Action Guillinary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		CARLOS E. GARCIA	2627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period fo	or Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY. CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ chasons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.5 Chevally (1) (ACTHS) from the making date of this communication, of the communication, or the communication of the communication, or to reply within the set or outended period for reply with U, y statute, reply received by the Cife later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. tely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
- =	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
تاره	are subject to restriction and/or	cicolori requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).					
	Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stane				
	application from the International Bureau	•	a iii ano rianona.	Otago				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
Attachmen	t(e)							
_	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/2006.

- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: ___

Application/Control Number: 10/565,284 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless — (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11, 14-18, 20-21, 24, 27-31, 33-34 and 37 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koishi (US 6,683,755).

Re claims 1, 14 and 27: Koishi discloses a negative pressure utilization type of slider (as shown in Fig.3) comprising: a head 62 for performing recording on a disk or reproducing from the disk; and an air bearing surface (the air bearing surface is composed of all surfaces facing the disk medium) formed in a surface facing the disk by a plurality of generally flat surfaces (as shown in Fig.3; the slider air bearing surface is composed of various surfaces such as 72, 82, 56 and 58) for floating from the disk by an air flow caused by rotation of the disk, the generally flat surfaces differing in height from each other (see Fig.4), the air bearing surface having an air inflow surface 56, a positive pressure generating surface 54 and a negative pressure generating surface 72 respectively formed in this order from an air flow incoming side (as shown in Fig. 3-4), wherein the air inflow surface has a groove configuration surface 82 formed to extend from the disk inner peripheral end toward the disk outer peripheral end of the air inflow surface (the groove surface 82 extends from one end to the other as shown in Fig.3, from the ends of rails 66 and 68), the groove configuration surface being lower in height than the air inflow surface (see Fig.3-4; col.6, lines 31-42; col.7, lines 3-12).

Re claims 2, 15 and 28: Koishi further discloses wherein the air bearing surface has surfaces of three stages differing in height (as shown in Fig.4), the surfaces of the three stages comprising an upper stage surface highest in height 54, a lower stage surface lowest in height 72 and a middle surface 56 lower than the upper stage surface and higher than the lower stage surface, the positive pressure generating surface, the air inflow surface and the negative pressure generating surface being formed on the upper stage surface, the middle surface and the lower stage surface, respectively (see col.7, lines 23-53).

Re claims 3, 16 and 29: Koishi further discloses wherein the groove configuration surface is formed flush with the negative pressure generating surface (as shown in Fig.4).

Re claims 4, 17 and 30: Koishi further discloses wherein the air inflow surface extends to the air flow incoming end (as shown in Fig.3; the surface 56 extends towards the inflow incoming end such as 28a).

Re claims 5, 18 and 31: Koishi further discloses wherein the groove configuration surface is distant from the air flow incoming end by at least 20 μ m (see col.7, lines 10-12; the length or distance of the groove surface 82 extends to at least a range of 10-90 μ m).

Art Unit: 2627

Re claims 7, 20 and 33: Koishi further discloses wherein the head is a magnetic head (see col.6, lines 39-43).

Re claims 8, 21 and 34: Koishi further discloses wherein the reproducing head is composed of a magnetoresistive element (inherent in the art).

Re claims 11, 24 and 37: Koishi further discloses a disk device (as shown in Fig.1) including the slider according as discussed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26, 32, 35, 36 and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koishi in view of Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). The teachings of Koishi have been discussed previously.

Re claims 6, 19 and 32: Koishi discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the groove configuration surface has a width of at least 30 μm .

It would have been obvious through routine experimentation and optimization in the absence of criticality to have the groove as shown by Koishi to be at least 30 μ m since in the AAPA (Spec. page 21, lines 1-3) the standard femto-slider dimensions are

Application/Control Number: 10/565,284

Art Unit: 2627

 0.7×0.87 mm, the groove which extends from one inner peripheral end to an outer peripheral end of the slider must be at least 30 μ m, since the applicant has not disclosed that solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any other standard slider having a width dimension larger than 30 μ m.

Re claims 9, 10, 22, 23 and 35-36: Koishi discloses the claimed invention except for the air bearing surface having an area of not more than 1 mm² or 0.5 mm².

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use a standard femtoslider with dimensions of 0.7×0.87 mm which would have an air bearing surface area of around 0.609 mm^2 , since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component for the purpose of evaluating the relationship between the air bearing surface and the atmospheric pressure variation using the next generation slider, such as the femto-slider. Furthermore, absent a statement of criticality, a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPO 237 (CCPA 1955).

Re claims 12, 13, 25, 26 and 38-39: Koishi further discloses means for recording or reproducing or both recording and reproducing in a disk region (as discussed above, the means for recording/reproducing is performed by a transducer or head 62).

Koishi discloses the claimed invention except for a relative speed between the slider and the disk is not higher than 10 m/s or 7 m/s.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,284 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to test the slider with the groove configuration surface in both low and high speed regions of a disk region which is used for recording/reproducing, as disclosed in AAPA (see Spec. page19, lines 10-32) in order to determine the effects the groove configuration surface has on the floating height of a standard slider.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record in PTO-892 Form and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos E. Garcia whose telephone number is 571-270-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm, Monday thru Thursday and 8:30 to 4:00 pm, Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Application/Control Number: 10/565,284 Page 7

Art Unit: 2627

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Carlos E. Garcia

3/29/2008 /Andrea L Wellington/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627